

## A STUDY ON DIGITAL LIBRARIES

\*Anuradha

### Introduction

Libraries have traditionally been allied with their collected works, and even more directly with their collected works of books. The term “library” derives from the Latin word *liber* (book), and terms for “library” in many languages are pedestal on their relationship to books. The library can be the collected works, the building housing that collected works, or the association as an entire, but a lot of people only the first definition matters; the other senses of library are subservient to the collected works. As libraries have evolved, the definition of collected works has expanded to encompass other types of material; educational libraries are currently as much concerning digital resources of all types as they are about printed volumes. Though a small amount of educational would conflict with this more unreserved description, for many the library is still fundamentally about the collected works. Yet libraries have in use on a range of functions to manage those collected works and the services and tools that libraries have built to make available access to content have develop to the point that they may become just as important to the institutional mission as the collected works itself.

Digital Libraries are creature which created today for miscellaneous societies and in different fields like Culture, science, governance, health, education development and so on. With the accessibility of numerous free digital Library software correspondence at the recent time, the formation and allocation of information through the digital library anthology has develop into an striking and feasible scheme for library and IT professionals around the globe. Library computerization has assisted to give easy admittance to collected works throughout the utilization of computerized library catalogue such as OPAC (On-line Public Access Catalog). Despite the fact that the spotlight of this description is on the text collected works, it strain the truth that the digital libraries are more than a random congregation of digital objects. They preserve the variety of behavior of conventional libraries for instance a defined group of people of users, listening carefully, compilation, long-term accessibility, and the opportunity of organizing, selecting, protecting and distribution of resources. The digital libraries are professed as establishment, however this is not as overriding as the previous description. The subsequent classification given by the DLF (Digital Library Federation) brings out the spirit of this discernment. Ding, Choo Ming (2000) has convoluted the digitization as no new building are necessary; information distribution can be

improved and duplicity of collected works abridged. Digital libraries be different considerably from the conventional libraries for the reason that they agree to users to increase an on-line accessibility to and work through the electronic media of full text credentials and their connected images. Various digital libraries also present accessibility to other multi-media based contents like video and audio.

### Review of Literature

Maxine (2000) stated that to create a digital library, compilation engrosses the following steps: accomplishment planning and endorsement. These are indispensable if the completed product is to fruitfully meet the user’s requirements and be conventional with the conventional quality principles. Digital Libraries using Open Source Software Digital libraries facilitate the formation of local content; fortify the method and ability of the library’s information systems. They augment the portability, effectiveness of access, flexibility, availability and conservation of content.

### Digitization

Digitization Witten and David stated that Digitization is a process of taking conventional library resources that are available in the form of papers and books and converting them in the form of electronics where they can be stored and edited by a computerized system.

Digital Library shall provide a real enhancement to the library’s transformation activities and to commence inventive digital information and its services to the user society. If the information is available in the digital form, it could be retrieved, stored, copied, shared and conveyed across distances devoid of having to invest any extra disbursement. Pinpointed and value added information at the click of the mouse will turn out to be an authenticity, if there is a Portal of Library to give accessibility to the priceless compilation multitude by the Digital Library System. Mostly, the documentation and literatures regarding content management system (CMS) acceptance consists of individual case studies recitation implementation and selection at precise institutions. There are very few comprehensive surveys of library websites or the personnel in charge of educational library websites to determine trends in CMS usage. The default approach for collecting content outside of special collected works will be “whatever is most cost-effective.” Libraries will pay for broad subscription

or demand-driven access to most content, with licensed perpetual access or outright purchase only for content for which usage dictates that as the most cost-effective means of acquisition. Libraries will never purchase material outside of core special collected works areas unless there is no cheaper means of providing access. While libraries expand the definition of collected works and focus even more on discovery tools, they will also work collaboratively to better manage print collected works. Initiatives such as the Western Regional Storage Trust (WEST) and others allow libraries to downsize legacy print collected works in an informed and careful way, and ultimately will allow them to build collaborative print collected works in a more intentional way. If libraries do shift their collecting focus toward special collected works, then they will no longer purchase much of the basic research material of the sort currently held in their monograph and journal collected works. If access becomes the norm for most published scholarly works, then no library, inevitably, will preserve some materials, and libraries and vendors must band together to establish long-term preservation plans for that content. Portico and LOCKSS are obvious models for this sort of collaborative enterprise, but so far they have managed only to archive a relatively small portion of the monographs and journals held by educational libraries. Existing available studies as well as CMS practice contained by educational libraries do not definitively answer whether generally acceptance has enlarged. In 2005, Georgia State University librarians conducted a survey on web librarians at sixty-three (63) of their peer foundations and of the sixteen responses, 38 percent reported utilization of “CMS expertization to run their web site.” A 2006 study of web managers from wide range of institutions (Associates to Research) indicated a 26 percent (twenty-four of ninety-four) CMS adoption rate. A more recent 2008 study of institutions of varying sizes resulted in a little more than half of respondents indicating use of CMSs, although the authors note that “people defined CMSs very broadly,” including tools like Moodle and CONTENT, and some of those libraries indicated they did not use the CMS to manage their website. A 2012 study by Comeaux and Schmetzke differs from the others mentioned here in that they reviewed educational library websites of the fifty-six campuses offering ALA-accredited graduate degrees (generally larger universities) and used tools and examined page code to try to determine on their own if the libraries used CMSs, as opposed to polling librarians at those institutions to ask them to self-identify if they used CMSs. They identified nineteen out of fifty-six (34 percent) sites using CMSs. The authors offer this caveat, “It is very possible that more sites use CMSs than could be readily identified. This is predominantly factual

for ‘home-grown’ system which is improbable to leave any readily perceptible source code.” For the reason that diverse methods and inhabitants groups studied and found that it is not promising to draw a conclusion concerning CMS acceptance rates within educational libraries in excess of instance using consequences.

## References

1. Michael Levine-Clark, Access to Everything: Building the Future Educational Library Collected works available at: [http://www.press.jhu.edu/journals/portal\\_libraries\\_and\\_the\\_academy/portal\\_pre\\_print/articles/14.3clark.pdf](http://www.press.jhu.edu/journals/portal_libraries_and_the_academy/portal_pre_print/articles/14.3clark.pdf).
2. Ruth Sara Connell, “Survey of Web Developers in Educational libraries,” *The Journal of Educational Librarianship* 34, no. 2 (March 2008): 121-129, doi:10.1016/j.acalib.2007.12.005.
3. Maira Bundza, Patricia Fravel Vander Meer, and Maria A. Perez-Stable, “Work of the Web Weavers: Web Development in Educational libraries,” *Journal of Web Librarianship* 3, no. 3 (July 2009): 239-62.
4. David Comeaux and Axel Schmetzke, “Accessibility of Educational Library Web Sites in North America-Current Status and Trends (2002-2012).” *Library Hi Tech* 31, no. 1 (January 28, 2013): 2.
5. Ibrahim Usman Alhaji, “Digitization of library resources and the formation of digital libraries: a practical approach”.
6. The Declining Importance of Library Books and the Rising Importance of Special Collected works (Ithaka S+R, 2013), accessed February 8, 2014, [http://www.sr.ithaka.org/sites/default/files/files/SR\\_BriefingPaper\\_Anderson.pdf](http://www.sr.ithaka.org/sites/default/files/files/SR_BriefingPaper_Anderson.pdf).
7. California Digital Library, “WEST: Western Regional Storage Trust,” accessed February 8, 2014, <http://www.cdlib.org/services/west/>; Center for Research Libraries, “PAPR: Print Archives Preservation Registry,” accessed February 8, 2014, <http://papr.crl.edu/>.
8. Cornell University Library and Columbia University Libraries, “Final Report of the 2CUL LOCKSS Assessment Team,” October 2011, accessed March 3, 2014, <http://2cul.org/sites/default/files/2CULLOCKSSFinalReport.pdf>.
9. Digital Library Federation, (2001), Registry of Digitized Books and Serial Publication, Available at <http://www.digilib.org/collectedworks>.
10. Ding, Choo Ming. (2000), Access to Digital Information: Some Breakthrough and Obstacles, *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, Vol.32 No.1.

11. Ian, H. Witten & David, Brainbridge, (2003), How to Build a Digital Library, London: Morgan Kaufman Publishers.
12. Sitts, Maxine K. (2000), Handbook for Digital Projects: A Management Tool for Preservation and Access, Northeast Document Conservation Center, Andover, Massachusetts, USA. <http://www.nedcc.org/digital/dman.pdf>.
13. [http://www.is.informatik.uniduisburg.de/courses/dl\\_ss04/foalien/02-intro-marchionini.pdf](http://www.is.informatik.uniduisburg.de/courses/dl_ss04/foalien/02-intro-marchionini.pdf).